



**Horry County HOME Consortium
2025-2026 Consolidated Annual
Performance and Evaluation Report**

Horry County
Community Development
1300 Second Avenue
Suite 102
Conway, SC 29526

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan.

91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The primary deliverable during the 2025-2026 program year was the development of decent, safe and affordable housing. Horry County spent \$598,932.67 on housing rehabilitation during this program year, completing 22 rehabs. In addition, 8 housing rehabilitation projects are currently underway. The average cost of housing rehabilitation was \$19,783.36. Housing activities promote the stability of neighborhoods not only by providing safe and affordable housing opportunities, but also through eliminating blight and safety hazards within distressed targeted neighborhoods.

During the 2025-2026 program year, Horry County allocated CDBG funding toward the implementation of several public service activities benefiting low to moderate income persons and households in Horry County. ECHO utilized CDBG funding to support a landlord liaison who mitigated evictions for 48 low to moderate income persons (\$15,448.30). ECHO also used CDBG funding to deploy a community benefits navigator, who was able to assist 32 low to moderate income persons with applying for available assistance programs (\$12,856.00). Housing Authority of Myrtle Beach utilized CDBG funds to provide utility and security deposit assistance for 6 low to moderate income persons and is continuing to identify new clients to assist (\$4,984.75). Additionally, Palmetto Literacy Council utilized CDBG funding to provide literacy instruction services to 6 illiterate adults in Horry County during the 2025-2026 program year (\$35,350.67). United Way of Horry County also funded a transitional program for formerly incarcerated individuals to help them transition successfully back to society, serving 786 clients (\$46,835.54). Phoenix Renaissance funded a summer camp and after school program serving 65 school aged children in a low to moderate income neighborhood (\$37,070).

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
1A: Increase Homeownership Opportunities	Affordable Housing	HOM E: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	25	10	40.00%	5	12	240.00 %

1B: Increase Affordable Rental Hsg Opportunities	Affordable Housing	HOM E: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	15	0	0.00%	2	0	0.00%
1B: Increase Affordable Rental Hsg Opportunities	Affordable Housing	HOM E: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	104	190	182.69 %	15	58	386.67 %
1C: Provide for Owner Occupied Hsg Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOM E: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	100	61	61.00%	25	21	84.00%
1D: Reduce Slum & Blight in Residential Areas	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$	Buildings Demolished	Buildings	10	4	40.00%	2	0	0.00%
2A: Expand & Improve Public Infrastructure Capacity	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	5000	0	0.00%	1000	0	0.00%
2B: Improve Access to Public Facilities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	5000	120	2.40%	1000	0	0.00%
3A: Provide Vital Public Services	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	2500	7108	284.32 %	500	489	97.80%
4A: Provide for Rapid Rehousing Programs	Homeless	ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	225	228	101.33 %	80	86	107.50 %

4B: Increase & Improve Homeless Prevention Service	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	325	44	13.54%	30	11	36.67%
4C: Increase Availability of Overnight Shelter Bed	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	10	33	330.00 %	10	13	130.00 %
4D: Improve Street Outreach & Supportive Services	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	1	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
5A: Develop Disaster Readiness & Response Programs	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	1	0	0.00%			

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

Community Development prioritizes the rehabilitation of housing occupied by low-to-moderate income households. Horry County spent \$598,932.67 in CDBG funds, as well as \$169,250.00 in USDA funds, on housing rehabilitation during this program year. CDBG public services continue to assist Horry County non-profits that aid individuals in low income areas. Finally, adequate public facilities and improvements, including infrastructure and neighborhood revitalization, improve the living environments of residents of low-to-moderate income areas, and provide improved access to critical services.

During 2025-2026, Horry County expended \$2,958.598 in CDBG-CV funds to prevent, prepare for, and respond to the Coronavirus. CDBG-CV funding was utilized for the purpose of monitoring of CDBG-CV subrecipients who had completed activities in the prior program year.

ESG Accomplishments

Horry County’s ESG subrecipient, ECHO, utilized \$69,293.98 in ESG funds to rapidly rehouse homeless individuals, \$37,446.95 for homeless prevention activities, \$1,915.83 for emergency shelter, and \$1,318.08 for administration. ECHO served 110 persons in Horry County through ESG funding during the 2025-2026 program year. 60.0% of the homeless individuals that ECHO assisted with ESG funding during the 2025-2026 left their delivery system by the end of this period. Horry County expended \$8,299.38 on

administration of the ESG program for the 2025-2026 program year. Overall, \$118,274.22 was expended on ESG activities during the 2025-2026 program year.

HOME Accomplishments

During the 2025-2026 program year, the Horry County HOME Consortium spent \$1,111,753.51 in HOME funding. These funds were used toward the completion of twelve new homeownership units. Eight additional new homeownership units are currently under construction and will be completed in 2026-2027. The Consortium also assisted 58 qualifying income-eligible households through tenant based rental assistance during the program year. The Consortium was within the 10% administration cap requirement at 8.36% for the program year.

During the 2025-2026 program year, the Horry County HOME Consortium expended \$845,850.43 in HOME-ARP funding. These funds were used toward the provision of homelessness prevention supportive services to assist 311 people (\$410,929.90), as well as 28 clients through tenant based rental assistance (\$179,737.89), four rental units in development (\$158,448.68), nonprofit operating assistance (\$31,597.07), and administration (\$65,136.89).

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG
White	401	42	39
Black or African American	288	28	59
Asian	1	0	0
American Indian or American Native	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	1
Other	3	0	11
Total	693	70	110
Hispanic	23	5	6
Not Hispanic	670	65	104

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

Horry County Community Development provides assistance to a diverse group of beneficiaries that are low to moderate income. Beneficiary data for housing rehabilitation projects are measured at the household level, while beneficiary data for infrastructure projects is analyzed at the block group level. For 2025-2026, beneficiaries of completed home rehabilitation activities are 68.2% African American and 31.8% Caucasian. Additionally, households assisted were 27.2% very low income, 36.4% low income, and 36.4% moderate income. Total beneficiary figures for CDBG-funded projects indicated that 57.86% of beneficiaries were Caucasian, 41.56% of beneficiaries were African American, 0.58% were of other racial descent. Total beneficiary figures for CDBG-funded projects indicated that 79.28% were extremely low income, 17.85% were low income, and 6.60% were moderate income. Total beneficiary figures for ESG indicated that 35.5% of beneficiaries were Caucasian, 53.6% of beneficiaries were African American, 0.9% of beneficiaries were Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, and 10.0% were of other racial descent.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	1,892,350.00	2,598,689.56
HOME	public - federal	984,438.54	1,111,753.51
ESG	public - federal	168,117.00	118,274.22

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

Horry County Community Development expended \$598,932.67 in CDBG funds during the 2025-2026 program year, which encompassed multiple years of grant funding. During the 2025-2026 program year, Horry County made remarkable progress in addressing its lengthy waiting list for rehabilitation assistance. Currently, the County's waiting list totals approximately 60 households. In order to maximize the effectiveness of HUD funding, CDBG funds were leveraged with USDA Section 533 Housing Preservation Grant funds in rural areas of Horry County. This strategy allowed for additional households to be assisted that might not otherwise have been served. Additionally, the County identified referral agencies where possible to more quickly serve the households on its waiting list.

During the 2025-2026 program year, the Horry County HOME Consortium spent \$1,111,753.51 in HOME funding. These funds were used toward the completion of twelve new homeownership units. Eight additional new homeownership units are currently under construction and will be completed in 2026-2027. The Consortium also assisted 58 qualifying income-eligible households through tenant based rental assistance during the program year. The Consortium was within the 10% administration cap requirement at 8.36% for the program year.

Horry County's ESG subrecipient, ECHO, utilized \$69,293.98 in ESG funds to rapidly rehouse homeless individuals, \$37,446.95 for homeless prevention activities, \$1,915.83 for emergency shelter, and \$1,318.08 for administration. ECHO served 110 persons in Horry County through ESG funding during the 2024-2025 program year. 60.0% of the homeless individuals that ECHO assisted with ESG funding during the 2025-2026 left their delivery system by the end of this period. Horry County expended \$8,299.38 on administration of the ESG program for the 2025-2026 program year. Overall, \$118,274.22 was expended on ESG activities during the 2025-2026 program year.

During 2025-2026, Horry County expended \$2,958.598 in CDBG-CV funds to prevent, prepare for, and respond to the Coronavirus. CDBG-CV funding was utilized for the purpose of monitoring of CDBG-CV subrecipients who had completed activities in the prior program year.

During the 2025-2026 program year, the Horry County HOME Consortium expended \$845,850.43 in HOME-ARP funding. These funds were used toward the provision of homelessness prevention supportive services to assist 311 people (\$410,929.90), as well as 28 clients through tenant based rental

assistance (\$179,737.89), four rental units in development (\$158,448.68), nonprofit operating assistance (\$31,597.07), and administration (\$65,136.89).

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
Bennett Loop - Horry County			
Brooksville - Horry County			
Bucksport - Horry County			
Cedar Branch - Horry County			
City of Conway - Horry County			
City of Conway - Rebuild Conway Revitalization Area - Horry County			
City of Georgetown - West End Neighborhood - Georgetown County			
City of Myrtle Beach - Central City Revitalization Area - Horry County			
City of Myrtle Beach - Horry County	25%	1.44%	
Freemont - Horry County			
Georgetown County			
Goretown - Horry County			
Horry County	75%	41.86%	
Racepath - Horry County		50.73%	
Socastee - Horry County		5.97%	
Town of Andrews - Georgetown County			
Town of Greeleyville - Williamsburg County			
Town of Kingstree - Williamsburg County			
Williamsburg County			

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

Horry County focuses on concentrated redevelopment efforts in identified local target areas. One such area, the Racepath community of Myrtle Beach, was designated as a Revitalization Area by Horry County Council in 2014. A County Revitalization Plan was developed with citizen input and through staff analysis of housing and income data, demographic trends, and more. The plan details revitalization activities for the area including housing rehabilitation, roadway improvements, code enforcement, and policing efforts. The plan also identifies funding sources including HUD grants, state funds, and local dollars. Phase II of these improvements is currently under construction and is nearing completion. Phase

It includes drainage enhancements and roadway improvements, as well as the addition of sewer service along an additional section of Racepath Street.

The following communities currently have Council-approved revitalization plans: Racepath, Bennett Loop, “Rebuild Conway” Revitalization Plan, and Central City Revitalization Plan (Myrtle Beach). Additional local target areas are expected to benefit from the revitalization plans in upcoming years. Emphasis on these target areas and their plans enables greater impact of redevelopment efforts through leveraging of diverse resources.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

The County seeks to leverage CDBG funds with local, state, and private dollars. Federal resources are an important element for many of the County’s housing, infrastructure, and neighborhood revitalization efforts. As a part of the sub-recipient application process, agencies are required to identify their leveraged funding amounts and sources.

Total leveraged funds for **CDBG 2025-2026** was: \$8,635,296.00.

Types/examples of additional resources are:

- **Eastern Carolina Housing Organization** – Portion of salary for a community benefits navigator position to supplement a grant funded community benefits navigator - \$40,000.00.
- **Housing Authority of Myrtle Beach** – HCV, VASH, and Stability program vouchers to couple with utility and security deposits - \$8,371,446.00.
- **Phoenix Renaissance** – Horry County Council community benefit funds and agency funds for a portion of after school program staff salaries - \$54,600.00.
- **Horry County Housing Rehabilitation** – USDA Housing Preservation Grant provided rehab assistance for low income households in rural areas of Horry County - \$169,250.00.

ESG Match

Eastern Carolina Housing Organization utilized its Supportive Services for Veterans and Families (SSVF) grant award through the Veterans Administration (VA) as match for the Horry County

Emergency Solutions Grant program. ECHO matched 100% of the ESG grant expenditures (\$118,274.22) with the SSVF grant. The SSVF grant funds the components of Rapid Rehousing, Homeless Prevention, HMIS, and Administration. The SSVF grant components include Personnel/Labor, Temporary Financial Assistance, Other Non-Personnel Provision and Coordination of Supportive Services, and Administrative Expenses.

HOME Match

HOME match requirements are typically 25% per funding year. The HOME match liability for 2025-2026 was calculated to be \$0.00. Horry County HOME consortium continues to make contributions to its match bank. Match for the HOME program was derived primarily from construction costs leveraged with private funding, donated items, and sweat equity from volunteers through the development of new homeownership units by Habitat for Humanity of Horry County and Habitat for Humanity of Georgetown County.

Public Lands

No publically owned land was utilized for projects during the 2025-2026 program year.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	3,127,671.29
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	1,319,807.90
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	4,447,479.19
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	0.00
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	4,447,479.19

–Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non- Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastruc ture	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
IDIS #766 - Habitat Georgeto wn – 130 Yadkin Ave	06/30/2026	0	0	0	0	87,932.50	0	87,932.50
IDIS #767 - Habitat Georgeto wn – 2120 Emanuel St, Lot B	06/30/2026	0	0	0	0	60,291.50	0	60,291.50
IDIS #822 - Habitat Horry – 4195 Harrelson Ave	06/30/2026	0	0	0	0	92,085.28	0	92,085.28
IDIS #910 - Habitat Horry – 1004 Tin Top Alley	06/30/2026	0	0	0	0	102,996.61	0	102,996.61
IDIS #914 - Habitat Horry – 301 Olive Branch Way	06/30/2026	0	0	0	0	145,772.62	0	145,772.62
IDIS #919 - Habitat Horry – 300 Olive Branch Way	06/30/2026	0	0	0	0	139,187.31	0	139,187.31

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non- Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastruc ture	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
IDIS #926 - Habitat Horry – 4404 Harrelson Ave	06/30/2026	0	0	0	0	129,505.96	0	129,505.96
IDIS #940- Habitat Horry – 185 Hamilton Way	06/30/2026	0	0	0	0	119,412.33	0	119,412.33
IDIS #941 - Habitat Horry – 187 Hamilton Way	06/30/2026	0	0	0	0	115,911.27	0	115,911.27
IDIS #942 - Habitat Horry – 1216 Cherry St	06/30/2026	0	0	0	0	127,338.70	0	127,338.70
IDIS #965 - Habitat Horry – 308 Olive Branch Way	06/30/2026	0	0	0	0	101,932.45	0	101,932.45
IDIS #968 - Habitat Horry – 307 Olive Branch Way	06/30/2026	0	0	0	0	97,441.37	0	97,441.37

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
0	0	0	0	0

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	68,612.60	0	0	12,950.00	27,390.00	28,272.60
Number	11	0	0	4	1	6
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	68,612.60	0	68,612.60			
Number	11	0	11			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0			
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0	0			
Businesses Displaced		0	0			
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0	0			
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0	0			
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	97	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	29	178
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Total	126	178

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	97	144
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	4	12
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	25	22
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	0	0
Total	126	178

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

In 2025-2026, the thirteenth year of its ESG program, Horry County targeted \$118,274.22 on administration, rapid re-housing, homeless prevention, and emergency shelter efforts via the provision of affordable rental units.

During the 2025-2026 program year, the Horry County HOME Consortium spent \$1,111,753.51 in HOME funding. These funds were used toward the completion of twelve new homeownership units. Eight additional new homeownership units are currently under construction and will be completed in 2026-2027. The Consortium also assisted 58 qualifying income-eligible households through tenant based

rental assistance during the program year. The Consortium was within the 10% administration cap requirement at 8.36% for the program year.

During the 2025-2026 program year, the Horry County HOME Consortium expended \$845,850.43 in HOME-ARP funding. These funds were used toward the provision of homelessness prevention supportive services to assist 311 people (\$410,929.90), as well as 28 clients through tenant based rental assistance (\$179,737.89), four rental units in development (\$158,448.68), nonprofit operating assistance (\$31,597.07), and administration (\$65,136.89).

In 2025-2026, Horry County spent \$598,932.67 in CDBG funding, along with \$169,250.00 in USDA funding, on housing rehabilitation. The County completed 22 housing rehabilitation projects during the program year, as well as one housing rehabilitation project that was funded exclusively through USDA. Eight more are currently underway across the entitlement. Of the 22 completed rehabilitations, 6 projects included the completion of essential repairs to the units, e.g., plumbing, roofing, electrical systems. Essential repair projects typically range from \$15,000-\$25,000.

Horry County has maintained progress toward key objectives for housing rehabilitation. A projection of 105 rehabilitated units was proposed for the five year period of the Consolidated Plan. During the 2025-2026 program year, Horry County completed 22 homeowner rehabilitation units. With the addition of the 8 units currently in progress, Horry County totaled 30 rehab units. Several homeowner occupied rehabilitations resulted in drastic life changing improvements in a low income household. Of note, the following repairs demonstrate the vital nature of housing rehabilitation:

Cedar Branch Road – Loris

The assisted household consisted of a low income (30%-50% AMI) elderly lady. The owner did not have an HVAC system in her home. USDA Housing Preservation Grant funds were leveraged with CDBG funds to install a new HVAC system. The repairs resulted in a safe, comfortable living environment for the assisted homeowner.

Mt. Peli Lane – Loris

The assisted household consisted of a low income (30%-50% AMI) couple. The owners had a non-functioning HVAC system in their mobile home. USDA Housing Preservation Grant funds were leveraged with CDBG funds to install a working HVAC system and replace duct work in the residence. The repairs resulted in a safe, comfortable living environment for the assisted homeowners.

Mistletoe Court – Myrtle Beach

The assisted household consisted of a low-income (30-50% AMI) disabled couple with two children. The house experienced damage to a number of components including the roof, HVAC system, doors, interior

finishes, flooring, paint, and cabinetry. CDBG funds were utilized to replace the roof, replace the HVAC system, and conduct repairs to the doors, interior finishes, flooring, paint, and cabinetry.

Intracoastal Village Court – Myrtle Beach

The assisted household consisted of a moderate-income (50-80% AMI) single lady. The house had experienced significant roof damage and was being stabilized from the elements with tarps. CDBG funds were utilized to conduct a roof replacement, resulting in a safe living environment for the assisted homeowner.

Dossies Road – Conway

The assisted household consisted of a low-income (30-50% AMI) single parent household with one child. The house had experienced roof damage, a lack of access decks, damaged flooring, access issues, damaged HVAC, and a deteriorated bathroom. USDA Housing Preservation Grant funds were leveraged with CDBG funds to replace the residence's roof, install front and back decks, replace the flooring, upgrade the bathroom, replace the HVAC ductwork, and add a gravel driveway. The repairs resulted in a safe, accessible living environment for the assisted homeowner.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

Over 2025-2026, Horry County HOME Consortium increased the prevalence of new affordable units through the construction of twelve (12) units for homeownership throughout the Consortium service area. Eight (8) additional homeownership new construction units are currently under construction through subrecipient agreements with Habitat for Humanity of Georgetown County and Habitat for Humanity of Horry County. These units are expected to be completed in the 2026-2027 Program Year.

Additionally, Horry County was able to prevent the loss of 22 affordable units in the current housing stock through rehabilitation activities. This strategy has been effective in the past in assisting low income households maintain their residences. However, the need for assistance greatly exceeds the resources available for homeowner rehabilitation. In fact, over 60 applicants are currently on the Horry County Community Development homeowner rehabilitation waiting list. In order to address this growing need and to maximize the amount of resources available to assist households, Horry County leveraged CDBG with USDA Housing Preservation Grant (HPG) funds in rural areas of Horry County. This partnership has allowed for additional beneficiaries to receive assistance that may not have otherwise been served during the program year.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	6	35
Low-income	8	24
Moderate-income	8	11
Total	22	70

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

Horry County remains committed to its Affordable Housing initiatives. Over the next program year, it will partner with Eastern Carolina Housing Organization (ECHO) as its ESG subrecipient to offer affordable rental opportunities to extremely low-income residents and those at-risk of homelessness.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

Horry County is a member organization of the regional Continuum of Care (CoC), which is administered by the Eastern Carolina Housing Organization (ECHO). ECHO currently serves as a subrecipient of ESG funds for Horry County. Over 2025-2026, ECHO provided ESG-funded services to a total of 110 homeless and at risk of homelessness individuals. ESG funding for the program year was directed toward homelessness prevention activities, rapid rehousing, emergency shelter, and HMIS administration. In all, 11 beneficiaries were served through homelessness prevention activities, 86 beneficiaries were served through rapid rehousing, and 13 people were served through emergency shelter activities. Two case managers also provide outreach at community events, Continuum of Care meetings, and to landlords to locate and assist homeless and at risk of homelessness individuals. ECHO staff are trained in intake assessment skills and proper recording in the CoC's Coordinated Entry System. ECHO staff work to assist the needs of clients and provide referrals to other service providers as appropriate.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

Horry County currently partners with ECHO as a subrecipient of ESG funding. ECHO directed \$69,293.98 in ESG funding toward rapid rehousing of homeless individuals. Horry County participates in the regional Continuum of Care, working with local public service agencies to implement a coordinated strategy to serve the needs of homeless individuals.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

Horry County Community Development partners with Housing Authority of Myrtle Beach to address the needs of the homeless and low income renters. The Housing Authority of Myrtle Beach utilized CDBG funding to provide security and utility deposits for low income households (\$4,984.75).

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that

individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

ECHO's 2025-2026 ESG data indicate that 60.0% of its 2025-2026 ESG-served clients have left its delivery system. As mentioned earlier in this report, the remaining tend to be elderly, ill/disabled individuals or struggling veterans who rely on benefits such as social security or social security disability for income. These clients require more supportive services, and while they remain in the system longer, they are just as likely as those who have left in 2025-2026 to secure rental housing without subsidy. Horry County and the CoC remain committed to analyzing homelessness data, services and outcomes in order to continue to improve service delivery and shorten individuals' and households' length of stay in the homeless delivery system.

Key to the CoC's success are its partnerships with providers of critical services that target the needs of area homeless. In Horry County, these services include mental health and health care, veterans and senior services, services for the disabled, and case management.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

The Horry County HOME Consortium works with four (4) Public Housing Authorities within its jurisdiction: The Housing Authority of Myrtle Beach (HAMB), the Conway Housing Authority (CHA), the Georgetown Housing Authority (GHA), and the Kingstree Housing Authority (KHA). Each housing authority administers the Section 8 Rental Assistance Program, which provides subsidies for privately owned housing for eligible applicants in the Housing Authority's Service Area. Tenants are required to pay 30% of their income toward the rent and the housing authority subsidizes the difference up to the Fair Market Rent, which is established by HUD annually. Eligibility is primarily based on income and is established by HUD and adjusted each year.

Additionally, HAMB offers a Housing Choice Voucher Homeownership Program to housing voucher participants who have been receiving assistance for at least one year and who are currently enrolled in the authority's Family Self-Sufficiency Program (FSS). Program participants not enrolled in the FSS Program who wish to participate in the Homeownership Program must enroll in the FSS before they are eligible to receive a homeownership voucher if they are approved for a mortgage. Additionally, HAMB administers vouchers for eligible veterans through the Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (VASH) program. CDBG and HOME funds are not eligible to be used to improve public housing units.

Horry County and its sub-grantees work with local housing authorities to develop plans for affordable housing units, and to encourage other public and private entities to invest in affordable housing.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

Public housing residents are encouraged to provide input to their respective PHAs and are also invited to participate in the citizen participation process carried out by the Consortium. The Consortium encourages Public Housing residents to learn about their Fair Housing rights and provides Fair Housing materials to interested individuals. All of Consortium's public housing authorities solicit resident input during the creation of their annual plans and other long-range planning documents.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

There are no troubled housing authorities in the Horry County HOME Consortium service area.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

Horry County Community Development works closely with the County's Planning & Zoning and Code Enforcement Departments to ensure that low-to-moderate income residents have an opportunity to participate in the development of local priorities. Through collaboration with housing officials, developers, agencies, and housing non-profits including Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs), the County continues to develop mechanisms to identify and eliminate barriers to affordable housing.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Many households experiencing poverty are able to remain in their homes, but live in unsafe or decrepit conditions after years of deferred maintenance on their homes. The potential loss of longstanding homeowners jeopardizes neighborhood stabilization efforts and places homeowners at risk of becoming homeless. Horry County partners its CDBG funding with USDA Housing Preservation Grant funding to be able to rehabilitate additional owner-occupied housing units. The USDA funding was leveraged with CDBG, when possible, to assist twenty-one (21) low-income households in rural areas of Horry County, allowing additional rehabilitation activities to be conducted.

Community Development's partnership with the Housing Authorities, and the CoC, has provided opportunities to identify and overcome barriers. For example, the County partners with ECHO to identify and address barriers to homelessness prevention and rapid re-housing, as part of the ESG program.

Recognizing the fundamental need for economic development in the community to serve residents' needs for employment and living wages, Horry County partners with the Myrtle Beach Regional Economic Development Corporation (MBREDC) as a key resource for development programs. The MBREDC has assisted in the creation of over 2,000 jobs since 2012 and \$1.7 billion in capital investments in the area since 2006.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

To address lead-based paint hazards, Horry County Community Development ensures that all pre-1978 housing units undergoing rehabilitation, and any public facilities undergoing renovation, have a lead inspection/risk assessment before any physical work begins on the unit. If lead is present and a danger to the occupant, the presence of lead in the unit is mitigated.

Information about lead-based paint is distributed to all participants of housing rehabilitation programs across the entitlement. Horry County Community Development performs lead inspections for all housing units assisted with CDBG funds with a pre-1978 construction date. During the 2025-2026 program year, no units were identified to contain lead-based paint hazards. The County also continues to support programs available through the SC Department of Environmental Services (DES) to address the presence of lead in housing.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The County's anti-poverty strategy is the unifying thread that combines housing and infrastructure development, homelessness prevention and reduction efforts, and public service delivery strategies into a comprehensive plan for the reduction of poverty. The key principles of Horry County's anti-poverty strategy are evident throughout the Consolidated Plan in its prioritization of housing, homelessness prevention and reduction, and infrastructure development in key target/revitalization areas.

The County has targeted CDBG, HOME, and other resources toward the low-income target areas described in the Consolidated Plan. These resources act as a catalyst to cultivate additional public and private investment of capital and services within targeted areas. The County actively seeks compatible funding opportunities to augment project funding when feasible. A past example of this strategy includes the use of Rural Infrastructure Authority (RIA) funding, coupled with CDBG entitlement funds, for the construction of drainage improvements in the Racepath Community.

This approach also invites a plethora of activities and programs into target areas. Public services are provided in conjunction with target area service providers. The County works over time with residents and providers to develop the capacity of non-profit organizations to provide services. The redevelopment of infrastructure and the construction of new affordable housing units, the rehabilitation of existing housing stock, and the homelessness assistance programs that provide support for area shelters and supportive services are key elements of the County's strategy in these target/revitalization areas as well.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Fundamental to the County's institutional structure for Community Development activities is the intergovernmental agreement among Horry County, the City of Myrtle Beach and the City of Conway, executed on October 1, 2008 in order to establish the entitlement. The agreement allows each jurisdiction to maximize the amount of CDBG funding received and to proportionately allocate benefits from the funding allocation countywide. Under this agreement, Horry County assumed responsibility for program administration, monitoring, compliance, and evaluation of all CDBG programs as the lead agency. Horry County's percentage of the annual CDBG allocation was set at 54%; Myrtle Beach's percentage of the allocation was 29%; and the City of Conway's allocation was 17%. Both Myrtle Beach and Conway are considered sub-grantees. The entities meet on a regular basis to benchmark progress. In May 2019, the City of Conway declined to renew the CDBG intergovernmental agreement for the upcoming three year period. Horry County has continued to administer agreements established with the City of Conway under previous funding years. Under the new intergovernmental agreement, Horry County's percentage of the allocation is 75% while the City of Myrtle Beach's allocation is 25%. The CDBG intergovernmental Agreement was renewed on July 28, 2025 for an additional three year term.

The County also coordinates and leverages its efforts with other local, state, and federal institutions to address specific needs or to implement new programs. The County understands the importance of integration and cooperation among housing providers, community development and social service agencies, and private entities, in order to fill the gaps in its delivery system. Through active engagement with partners, the County works to ensure efficiencies in delivery of programs and services, and to avoid duplication of efforts.

One of the strengths of the current delivery system is the existing collaborative network of providers. The structure requires strong participation by local organizations and stakeholders. Horry County Community Development focuses on a data-driven approach, and evaluates feedback and ideas from multiple sources, customers and stakeholders, in order to identify needs and develop solutions.

The Horry County HOME Consortium will continue to work with local non-profits and housing organizations to facilitate the development and retention of affordable housing units. Staff participated in income calculation and verification trainings, HOME management trainings, and LIHTC trainings, as well as other available opportunities to increase organizational capacity. Staff continues to participate in HUD-provided trainings for HOME and other funding sources when possible.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Horry County targets significant CDBG, HOME, and other resources within the low-income areas described in the Consolidated Plan. These resources spur additional public and private investment of capital and services, in order to increase the quantity and quality of affordable housing and infrastructure and to help low-to-moderate income residents in the areas to acquire needed services.

The County continues to support local housing organizations, such as Habitat for Humanity and Eastern Carolina Housing Organization, in providing affordable housing opportunities and housing rehabilitation. CDBG funding for housing rehabilitation projects totaled \$598,932.67 for this program year. Community Development also continues to work with local lenders to identify changes or patterns in lending practices, especially those that impact low-income residents, minorities, Section 3 residents, and special populations, and their ability to become homeowners.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

Horry County received approval of its plan for Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing in November 2017, which replaced the prior Analysis of Impediments. The issues identified in the plan informed the goals that were identified in the 2023-2027 Consolidated Plan. A team comprised of Horry County Community Development staff, Conway and Myrtle Beach staff members, CoC staff, and representatives from the Housing Authorities of Myrtle Beach and Conway participated in the development of the plan.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Horry County's Monitoring Policies and Procedures enables staff to monitor all activities and accomplishments against goals and objectives, and to identify specific monitoring activities for each sub-recipient based on factors including general grant experience, experience with HUD funding, activity type, staffing, and more. Information gained from the reviews helps County staff to identify programs, activities, and strategies that have been successful. Best practices are then shared. When corrective actions are identified, measurable action plans are developed and tracked with subrecipients.

The monitoring and evaluation process includes:

- Initial subrecipient workshop and training. Topics include CDBG regulations and standards for the eligible activity and national objective, plus requirements for procurement, reporting, financial systems, and federal contract provisions. Staff identifies any ongoing monitoring and training needs.
- Periodic phone contacts and emails, in order to offer guidance and check on progress.
- Formal desk review at reimbursement request. (These typically occur every 30-60 days.) The review checks for allowable costs, adherence to agreement, accomplishments and beneficiaries, timeliness/rate of spending, and compliance with policies and procedures. Horry County reimburses only when the agency has complied with the requirements of the contract.
- Annual on-site monitoring session. Letters are sent in advance of these sessions, with an enclosed monitoring checklist. After the session, a formal report is delivered to the sub-recipient and filed. Any corrective action plans are developed with the subrecipients and tracked. Internal monitoring is also conducted to determine if there is a need for amendments to the policies and procedures, which are implemented as appropriate.
- As required, Davis-Bacon site visits are conducted on capital improvement projects (\$2,000 or more) to ensure adherence to regulations, and to provide for Davis-Bacon wage rates and fringes to workers. County staff ensures its attendance at all pre-bid and pre-construction meetings for projects requiring Davis-Bacon, in order to inform and train.
- As required, Section 3 compliance is ensured on all capital improvement projects (\$200,000 or more). County staff attends all pre-bid and pre-construction meetings requiring Section 3 compliance.

For housing rehabilitation activities, County staff monitors compliance at specific milestones, including: property verification, income verification, project estimation, procurement, construction (on-site inspections), reimbursement/draw, and closeout.

Horry County will include expenditure timeliness monitoring as a reviewed element with the City of Myrtle Beach for monitoring of the 2025-2026 Program Year. This review will include an analysis of the current level of spending, as well as a review of project status to determine progress toward spend down milestones. Horry County met the CDBG timeliness requirements for 2025-2026 with a ratio of 1.10. Horry County will continue to monitor its rate of expenditure and work with its partner municipality to ensure that compliance is maintained at the next test date in May 2027.

The Horry County HOME Consortium will monitor its subrecipients and CHDOs for the 2025-2026 program year as a part of its annual monitoring process. Monitoring activities for the 2025-2026 Horry County HOME Consortium program year will focus on projects currently under construction, as well as a review of progress completed by subrecipients during the previous program year.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

For the 2025-2026 CAPER, Horry County HOME Consortium advertised a 15-day comment period (August 7th – August 21st, 2026), during which residents will be offered the opportunity to provide written comments on this CAPER. In addition, three in-person public hearings will be held the week of August 17th, 2026 to allow citizens throughout the HOME Consortium service area an opportunity to provide verbal comments as well. All residents of the Horry County HOME Consortium service area are invited to attend. Comments will be summarized after the meetings are held.

The CAPER will be presented at the Horry County Council meeting on September 1st, 2026. All residents are invited to attend. Comments will be summarized after the meeting is held.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

There are no changes in Horry County's program objectives and goals as a result of its experiences. While Horry County continues to monitor feedback of residents as a result of its Citizen Participation Plan, no experiences or analysis has warranted a change in programs.

Over the last program year, some new developments have supported and/or expanded current programs and approaches:

- In order to expand its ability to address the growing homeowner rehabilitation waiting list, Horry County leveraged CDBG funds with USDA Housing Preservation Grant (HPG) funding to conduct homeowner rehabilitations. Although this funding has specific eligible areas and different income restrictions than CDBG funding, Horry County was able to leverage USDA funding for components of larger rehabilitation activities. Utilization of this funding source has allowed Horry County to enhance its ability to address its waiting list of approximately 60 applicants.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 24 CFR 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in 24 CFR §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

The regulation at 24 CFR 92.504(d) requires inspection of units at project completion and annually during the affordability period for rental projects. Inspections were performed for completed units by the Consortium housing inspector. All units met required construction standards for the type of activity completed. Three (3) rental units were completed in February 2022. These units were inspected on October 21, 2022 and again on October 21, 2025 and were found to be in order. As the project consists of four or less units, it must be inspected once every three years. Units are scheduled for onsite inspections in October 2028 in accordance with the requirements of affordability period compliance monitoring. ECHO is compiling rent rolls and collecting income self-certification statements from tenant households, pursuant to HOME monitoring requirements.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 24 CFR 91.520(e) and 24 CFR 92.351(a)

As required by HUD, Horry County HOME Consortium and its subrecipients follow the Affirmative Marketing Regulations. Printed materials designed to educate the public, owners, or potential tenants wishing to participate in the HOME Program include a statement regarding Horry County's Affirmative Marketing Plan as well as the applicable fair housing laws. All newspaper and other media announcements, as well as any printed materials, include the Equal Housing Opportunity logo, slogan, or statement. Fair Housing Posters are also displayed in the Horry County Community Development office.

Eight (8) homeownership units are currently under construction through subrecipient agreements with Habitat for Humanity of Georgetown County and Habitat for Humanity of Horry County. Both Habitat for Humanity affiliates have implemented affirmative marketing plans and comply with the applicable regulatory requirements. Habitat reaches out to those who are least likely to apply for assistance through advertisements and informational brochures available at local service organizations. All other subrecipients and CHDOs funded through HOME have implemented programmatic affirmative marketing plans as well. No current projects trigger the regulatory requirement for project-specific affirmative marketing plans. Staff will work with Habitat to ensure that all affirmative marketing requirements are fulfilled with current and future HOME-funded units.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

No program income was received during the 2025-2026 Program Year.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 24 CFR 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 24 CFR 91.320(j)

During the 2025-2026 Program Year, Horry County HOME Consortium staff explored opportunities to expand its ability to foster and maintain affordable housing. The County continues to place great emphasis on training and expansion of capacity of staff. Staff participated in ongoing training focusing on HOME program management, income and asset verification, Opportunity Zones, and the Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program in order to expand program capacity. Consortium staff will continue to provide technical assistance in the upcoming program year to develop construction-ready projects for HOME funding.

CR-58 – Section 3

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Total Labor Hours	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Total Number of Activities	1	0	0		
Total Labor Hours	2,480	0	0		
Total Section 3 Worker Hours	0	0	0		
Total Targeted Section 3 Worker Hours	0	0	0		

Table 14 – Total Labor Hours

Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Public Housing Targeted Workers	0	0	0		
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Other Funding Targeted Workers.	0	0	0		
Direct, on-the job training (including apprenticeships).	0	0	0		
Indirect training such as arranging for, contracting for, or paying tuition for, off-site training.	0	0	0		
Technical assistance to help Section 3 workers compete for jobs (e.g., resume assistance, coaching).	0	0	0		
Outreach efforts to identify and secure bids from Section 3 business concerns.	1	0	0		
Technical assistance to help Section 3 business concerns understand and bid on contracts.	0	0	0		
Division of contracts into smaller jobs to facilitate participation by Section 3 business concerns.	0	0	0		
Provided or connected residents with assistance in seeking employment including: drafting resumes, preparing for interviews, finding job opportunities, connecting residents to job placement services.	0	0	0		
Held one or more job fairs.	0	0	0		
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that can provide direct services or referrals.	2	0	0		
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that provide one or more of the following: work readiness health screenings, interview clothing, uniforms, test fees, transportation.	0	0	0		
Assisted residents with finding child care.	1	0	0		
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend community college or a four year educational institution.	1	0	0		
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend vocational/technical training.	1	0	0		
Assisted residents to obtain financial literacy training and/or coaching.	1	0	0		
Bonding assistance, guaranties, or other efforts to support viable bids from Section 3 business concerns.	0	0	0		
Provided or connected residents with training on computer use or online technologies.	0	0	0		
Promoting the use of a business registry designed to create opportunities for disadvantaged and small businesses.	0	0	0		
Outreach, engagement, or referrals with the state one-stop system, as designed in Section 121(e)(2) of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act.	0	0	0		
Other	0	0	0		

Table 15 – Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program

Narrative

Horry County, as a recipient of HUD funding, makes significant efforts to comply with the Section 3 regulation. Horry County incorporates Section 3 compliance language into all of its subrecipient agreements. In the 2025-2026 program year, Horry County continued implementation of a vocational skills development program in partnership with Horry Georgetown Technical College through the use of American Rescue Plan funding. The program provides targeted educational opportunities for low income citizens with an emphasis on serving several local LMI areas. Horry County continues to coordinate with the Housing Authority of Myrtle Beach to maintain an updated listing of Section 3 residents seeking employment. This list is made available to bidders submitting bids for Section 3 applicable projects. Of note, Horry County seeks to include Section 3 businesses in its rehabilitation and infrastructure projects. Horry County uses an Invitation for Bids system to procure contractors for its construction projects. Bid advertisements are placed on South Carolina Business Opportunities (SCBO) and Construction Journal to maximize exposure to potential Section 3 contractors. Although no Section 3 contractors were identified and selected during the 2025-2026 Program Year, Horry County continues to encourage Section 3 businesses to bid on projects. No Section 3 businesses are currently identified within Horry County, Georgetown County, or Williamsburg County, which presents a barrier to identification of potential contractors.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	HORRY COUNTY
Organizational DUNS Number	093876811
UEI	
EIN/TIN Number	576000365
Identify the Field Office	COLUMBIA
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Myrtle Beach/Sumter City & County CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Ms
First Name	Elizabeth
Middle Name	A
Last Name	Tranter
Suffix	
Title	Director

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	1300 Second Avenue
Street Address 2	Suite 102
City	Conway
State	SC
ZIP Code	-
Phone Number	8439157036
Extension	
Fax Number	8439156184
Email Address	tranter.elizabeth@horrycountysc.gov

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	Mr
First Name	Michael
Last Name	Dobson
Suffix	
Title	Deputy Director
Phone Number	8439157041
Extension	
Email Address	dobson.michael@horrycountysc.gov

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date	07/01/2025
Program Year End Date	06/30/2026

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: ECHO

City: Myrtle Beach

State: SC

Zip Code: 29577, 3635

DUNS Number: 965206555

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 161634

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	7
Children	4
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	11

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	61
Children	25
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	86

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	9
Children	4
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	13

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	77
Children	33
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	110

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	0
Female	0
Transgender	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	110
Total	110

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	33
18-24	18
25 and over	59
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	110

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households				
Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	0	0	0	0
Victims of Domestic Violence	28	0	21	7
Elderly	5	1	3	1
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless	20	0	18	2
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	45	0	32	13
Chronic Substance Abuse	17	0	15	2
Other Disability	52	7	35	10
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	114	7	82	25

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	0
Total Number of bed-nights provided	0
Capacity Utilization	0.00%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2023	2024	2025
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	3,316.86	34,130.09
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	0	3,316.86	34,130.09

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2023	2024	2025
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	36,978.10	32,315.88
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	0	36,978.10	32,315.88

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2023	2024	2025
Essential Services	0	0	1,915.83
Operations	0	0	0
Renovation	0	0	0
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	1,915.83

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2023	2024	2025
Street Outreach	0	0	0
HMIS	0	0	0
Administration	0	4,315.43	5,302.03

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures**11e. Total ESG Grant Funds**

Total ESG Funds Expended	2023	2024	2025
0	0	44,610.39	73,663.83

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended**11f. Match Source**

	2023	2024	2025
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	0	44,610.39	73,663.83
State Government	0	0	0
Local Government	0	0	0
Private Funds	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	0	44,610.39	73,663.83

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities**11g. Total**

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2023	2024	2025
0	0	89,220.78	147,327.66

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities